Law Project for Psychiatric Rights James B. Gottstein, Esq. 406 G Street, Suite 206 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-7686 Attorney for Appellant

MAY 20 2014

APPELLATE COURTS
STATE OF ALACKA

IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

ITMO the Protective Proceedings of Bret Bohn,)) Supreme Court No.	5-15563
Law Project for Psychiatric Rights, Appellant))	
Trial Court Case No. 13-2737PR	1	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Appellant, Law Project for Psychiatric Rights (PsychRights®), by and through its attorney, hereby gives notice of appeal to the Alaska Supreme Court from that certain Order Denying PsychRights' Request for Disclosure of Confidential Proceedings and Court File, dated April 17, 2014, a copy of which has been filed herewith along with a completed Docketing Statement and the other documents set forth in Appellate Rule 204(b).

Dated this 20th day of May, 2014.

LAW PROJECT FOR PSYCHIATRIC RIGHTS

Bv:

James B. Gottstein, Esq., Alaska Bar No. 7811100

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

In the Matter of the Protective Proceedings of) RECEIVED	APR 3 0 2014
BRET BYRON BOHN,) MAY 2 0 2014	BY:
Respondent.	A)PPELLATE COURTS OF THE)STATE OF ALASKA) Case No. 3AN-13	3-2737PR

ORDER DENYING PSYCHRIGHTS' REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL PROCEEDINGS AND COURT FILE

James Gottstein, through his organization, PsychRights, filed an Administrative Rule 37.7 request for public access to confidential records in this case. After briefing from all the parties, the Court DENIES PsychRights' request, finding PsychRights failed to meet its burden to show good cause.

Proceedings for guardianship are confidential and can only be disclosed upon court order for good cause shown or to specifically listed parties. PsychRights argues good cause exists because disclosure serves the public interest. Specifically, PsychRights argues its mission "to mount a strategic litigation campaign against forced psychiatric drugging and electroshock around the United States"² serves the public and that public intrigue³ in addition to "[v]ery [t]roubling [a]spects of [t]his [c]ase"⁴ show cause for disclosure. While disclosure would likely provide the public with a clarified

¹ Alaska Prob. R. 14(d); AS 13.26.013(a). ² PsychRights' Req. for Disclosure at 4.

³ *Id*. at 5.

⁴ *Id*. at 6.

³AN-13-02737PR

In Re: Bohn

Order Denying Disclosure of Court File

narrative of the case, the Court must consider the interests of Mr. Bohn's privacy and the effect disclosure would have on Mr. Bohn's continued care.

Mr. Bohn has a statutorily recognized right to privacy regarding his case file and guardianship proceedings. The burden is cast on the individual requesting disclosure to show good cause. The Court rejects the view that confidentiality yields to public access where the scope of the proceedings solely relate to Mr. Bohn's care. The Court will not order disclosure of highly sensitive and confidential court and medical records to simply address PsychRights' contention that Mr. Bohn's parents' had a "common sense" reaction to Mr. Bohn's prescribed course of medication or to satisfy PsychRights' inquiry as to whether Mr. Bohn is lucid enough to testify. Disagreement with the Court's findings or speculation as to anything allegedly unaddressed by the Court does not render the underlying proceedings public.

The Court finds that PsychRights has failed to meet its burden to show good cause exists for public disclosure of the court file. Any public disclosure is outweighed by Mr. Bohn's privacy rights, therefore, the Court DENIES PsychRights' request.

Dated at Anchorage, Alaska this 17 day of April 2014.

Erin B. Marston Superior Court Judge

I certify that on_418/14

⁵ Id. 7-8.
3AN-13-02737PR
In Re: Bohn
Order Denying Disclosure of Court File
Page 2 of 2

Administrative Assistant

re-marled to J. Groffstein 4/34/14. KG.

W. A. Ross/M. Birds. C. Brady-Dragomir T. T. Perkins, E. Russo,

of the following was mailed/ faxed/ hand-delivered to each of the following at their addresses of

Law Project for Psychiatric Rights James B. Gottstein, Esq. 406 G Street, Suite 206 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-7686 Attorney for Appellant



IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

ITMO the Protective Proceedings of Bret Bohn,	Supreme Court No. 5 15563
Law Project for Psychiatric Rights, Appellant) Trial Court Case No. 13-2737PR)

POINTS ON APPEAL

Pursuant to Appellate Rule 204(e), the points on which appellant intends to rely in this appeal are that the Superior Court's April 17, 2014, Order Denying PsychRights' Request for Disclosure of Confidential Proceedings and Court File is erroneous for the following reasons:

- It violates the right of public access to court proceedings under common law, and the constitutions of the United States and Alaska; and
- 2. It does not properly consider and/or apply the criteria for opening court proceedings to the public under Administration Rule 37.7.

Dated this 26 th day of May, 2014.

LAW PROJECT FOR PSYCHIATRIC RIGHTS

By:

James B. Gottstein, Esq., Alaska Bar No. 7811100

Law Project for Psychiatric Rights James B. Gottstein, Esq. 406 G Street, Suite 206 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-7686 Attorney for Appellant MAY 20 2014

APPELLATE COURTS
STATE OF ALASKA

IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

ITMO the Protective Proceedings of Bret Bohn,)	Supreme Court No.	S	155 63
Law Project for Psychiatric Rights, Appellant)			
Trial Court Case No. 13-2737PR				

DESIGNATION OF NO TRANSCRIPTS

Pursuant Appellate Rule 204(b)(6), Appellant Law Project for Psychiatric Rights (PsychRights®), by and through its attorney, designates no hearings for transcription.

Dated this $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ th day of May, 2014.

LAW PROJECT FOR PSYCHIATRIC RIGHTS

By:

James B. Gottstein, Esq., Alaska Bar No. 7811100